

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MELVIN CHARLES ZIMMONS,
Plaintiff,
v.
G. KALISHER, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [20-cv-00859-YGR](#) (PR)

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART
PLAINTIFF’S REQUEST FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
AMENDED COMPLAINT; AND
DENYING HIS REQUEST FOR
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL**

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed the instant *pro se* prisoner complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Before the Court are Plaintiff’s motion for an extension of time to file his amended complaint as well as his motion for appointment of counsel. Dkt. 9.

I. MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE HIS AMENDED COMPLAINT

The Court has dismissed Plaintiff’s complaint with leave to amend. Plaintiff has filed a request for extension of time to file his amended complaint. Dkt. 9. He requests an “extension of time or stay of proceedings until the COVID-19 crisis is over” *Id.* at 1.

Good cause appearing, the request is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. Plaintiff’s request for an indefinite stay of proceedings is DENIED, but his request for an extension of time due to the current COVID-19 crisis is GRANTED. Plaintiff shall file his amended complaint on or by **October 9, 2020**. Plaintiff shall inform the Court if a further extension is required in light of the current public health situation, and he shall provide documents showing the programming changes in the prison related to COVID-19, instead of simply mentioning the “COVID-19 crisis” as the reason for the request for an extension of the deadlines. **If Plaintiff fails to file an amended complaint by the deadline above or fails to request a further extension, this action will be dismissed without prejudice.**

II. MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL


Plaintiff’s motion for appointment of counsel is DENIED for want of exceptional circumstances. *See Rand v. Rowland*, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997); *see also Lassiter v.*

1 *Dep't of Social Services*, 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981) (there is no constitutional right to counsel in a
2 civil case). This denial is without prejudice to the Court's *sua sponte* appointment of counsel at a
3 future date should the circumstances of this case warrant such appointment.

4 This Order terminates Docket No. 9.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: September 4, 2020

7 
8 YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28